

WASHINGTON.

FAMOUS FROM THE NATIONAL SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.

Office Seeker's Bold Not To Be a Thing of the Past—A New Session to be Called—Refunding of the Debt—Cabinet Chat, &c., &c., &c.

WASHINGTON, March 26.—The fatigue to which Senators are subjected by the rush for office and the labors of the extra session, is telling on the health of some of them. Mahone is about used up. He told a friend to-day that he felt very badly and should be in bed instead of in the Senate. Senator Edmunds is away on sick leave. Senator Williams is not well, and has left the city. Senator Cameron left town to-day to be absent a few days. He has been in very poor health for some time, and rest is absolutely necessary for him. His future will be very strong like his father, and the office seekers have secured around him, making life almost a torment.

A DISAPPOINTED FORTY-TWO. Miss Van Lew, who Grant retained in the Richmond, Va., Postoffice during his term on account of services to the Union during the war, has failed in her effort to secure a reappointment. In a card published to-day she says:

"In your paper of last evening I find in an article headed 'Who the House Calls the following paragraph: 'A nomination having been made for the Richmond Postoffice Miss Van Lew comes no more, it seems as if another landmark had gone.' 'He purpose of my visit was to see the President. I was never permitted an interview, hence I was not able to see him. I was very disappointed, and I am sure that you are right in times of peril to carry and recognition there. The war, which enriched many loyalists, impoverished our family. Only the most absolute need from the great depression of my property rendered me to ask for a reappointment. Postoffice, and with my record I believe if the question was left to the Nation it would be decided in my favor.' 'ELEANOR L. VAN LEW.' There is reported to be a

COULNESS IN THE CABINET about the nomination. Postmaster General James A. Smith with Conkling, Platt and Arthur. Other members of the Cabinet think this nomination very ill-considered and improper. It seems that James knew nothing of it until the nomination was made. Little that is reliable can be learned about this, but there is a feeling of uneasiness in the cabinet. The nomination was made by the President. The war, which enriched many loyalists, impoverished our family. Only the most absolute need from the great depression of my property rendered me to ask for a reappointment. Postoffice, and with my record I believe if the question was left to the Nation it would be decided in my favor.' 'ELEANOR L. VAN LEW.' There is reported to be a

A METEOROLOGICAL STATION. President Garfield has approved the plan submitted by Lieutenant Greeley, United States Army, for the establishment of a meteorological station at Lady Franklin Bay. A proper detail of officers and men will be made by the War Department, and the Lieutenant Greeley will be placed in command.

BLAINE WILL BUILD. Secretary Blaine has purchased the entire square of ground on Scott Circle, extending only the plot on which Senator Dixon is building his residence, and will erect thereon a block of handsome houses.

MORE KAGLES AND LESS OWL. Col. Ingalls makes the following prediction about the present Secretary of State: "At last," says he, "we have the right sort of a man at the head of the State Department. I predict for the next four years there will be more eagle and less owl in its management than there has been in times gone by."

A PERPLEXING QUESTION SETTLED. The extra session question was finally settled to-day, and in the manner foretold in these dispatches. President Garfield has been against it all the time, but he did not say much himself. Secretary Blaine is the only member of the cabinet who has adhered to the opinion from the first that an extra session would be a good thing for the party, the administration and the country. The other members of the cabinet hold the cup of dissent. President Garfield's judgment has been against it, and his opinion has been confirmed by the multitude of protests from the business centers of the country. The business sentiment of the country seemed unanimously against a called session.

RETURNING THE DEBT. With the somewhat limited means at his command, there are \$105,000,000 of four per cent bonds which can be put into the market in round lots and sold to the highest bidder. They will bring a large premium. It is estimated that for the ten months ending December 31, 1880, the surplus revenue will be about \$170,000,000, and there is little doubt that \$50,000,000 of the available cash now in the Treasury can be applied to the redemption of maturing bonds which would enable the Secretary of the Treasury to redeem and cancel the present calendar year bonds, and \$100,000,000 of the maturing bonds, not amounting to cash in the Treasury that is placed at the disposal of the Secretary of the Treasury by the act of the President. The act of the President is to be signed in the bill on the motion of Senator Bayard. The report provides that the time apply the surplus money in the Treasury, not otherwise appropriated, or, to use thereof as he may consider proper to purchase or redemption of bonds, to avoid the remaining and four per cent bonds are being bought, and it is desirable to avoid having a large majority of debt on our hands. There is a which \$150,000,000 can be borrowed.

A JOURNALIST'S FARE. The President has decided to appoint Edwin H. Nevin, the editor of the Philadelphia Evening News, Surveyor of the Port of Philadelphia. The only interest in touching the appointment is that of "another Ohio man," having been born at Portsmouth in that State.

AMONG PHILADELPHIA THIEVES.

A Bellaire Man's Experience With Pick-pockets and Confidence Men.

Special Dispatch to the Cincinnati Gazette.

PHILADELPHIA, March 26.—J. W. King, a merchant tailor of Bellaire, O., has had a peculiar experience in buying back a watch from thieves of this city. Mr. King, after a day in the cloth store making purchases, took his daughter to the Museum Theatre. While taking the air in the lobby between acts, two men inquired the time, and Mr. King read that of his \$100 gold watch to their satisfaction. While moving out after the play, his daughter on his arm, Mr. King became the centre of a throng of half a dozen men, who jostled him more than was comfortable or necessary. One man, particularly, who was recognized as one of those who had asked to see the time, as before related, brushed close up against Mr. King, and moved backward and forward several times. On reaching the street, Mr. King felt his watch chain dangling, and became aware that the watch was gone. The thieves had simply twisted the ring loose. Some associations lent the watch a value in Mr. King's eyes in excess of its market price, and he took steps to ascertain its number, hoping thus to be able to trace it. He mentioned the loss to Councilman Hoffman, of the Fourth ward, who became deeply interested.

In his company Mr. King visited Squire McMullin, who, for some reason, he considered the proper person to see under the circumstances, and he advised them to call at certain saloons in B and I and South streets, which are notorious as thieves' resorts. After half a dozen visits had enjoyed drinks all round at Mr. King's expense, that gentleman saw and recognized the man who had jostled him at the theatre.

"That is the man," he said, pointing him out to Councilman Hoffman.

"Oh, no, you are mistaken. That man would not do such a thing." Mr. Hoffman said with some sincerity that Mr. King thought he might have made a mistake. This finished the search, as he thought fruitless. The next morning, however, Mr. Hoffman told him that he had found the watch in a certain pawn shop, in which it had been pledged for \$25. He engaged to get it back for \$50. The price was steep, but Mr. King agreed to pay it, and secured the watch. He took it to a certain saloon, where the watch would be turned over on payment of the price. It was stipulated that Mr. King should not bring any third party with him.

"Elizabeth L. Van Lew." There is reported to be a

COULNESS IN THE CABINET about the nomination. Postmaster General James A. Smith with Conkling, Platt and Arthur. Other members of the Cabinet think this nomination very ill-considered and improper. It seems that James knew nothing of it until the nomination was made. Little that is reliable can be learned about this, but there is a feeling of uneasiness in the cabinet. The nomination was made by the President. The war, which enriched many loyalists, impoverished our family. Only the most absolute need from the great depression of my property rendered me to ask for a reappointment. Postoffice, and with my record I believe if the question was left to the Nation it would be decided in my favor.' 'ELEANOR L. VAN LEW.' There is reported to be a

A METEOROLOGICAL STATION. President Garfield has approved the plan submitted by Lieutenant Greeley, United States Army, for the establishment of a meteorological station at Lady Franklin Bay. A proper detail of officers and men will be made by the War Department, and the Lieutenant Greeley will be placed in command.

BLAINE WILL BUILD. Secretary Blaine has purchased the entire square of ground on Scott Circle, extending only the plot on which Senator Dixon is building his residence, and will erect thereon a block of handsome houses.

MORE KAGLES AND LESS OWL. Col. Ingalls makes the following prediction about the present Secretary of State: "At last," says he, "we have the right sort of a man at the head of the State Department. I predict for the next four years there will be more eagle and less owl in its management than there has been in times gone by."

A PERPLEXING QUESTION SETTLED. The extra session question was finally settled to-day, and in the manner foretold in these dispatches. President Garfield has been against it all the time, but he did not say much himself. Secretary Blaine is the only member of the cabinet who has adhered to the opinion from the first that an extra session would be a good thing for the party, the administration and the country. The other members of the cabinet hold the cup of dissent. President Garfield's judgment has been against it, and his opinion has been confirmed by the multitude of protests from the business centers of the country. The business sentiment of the country seemed unanimously against a called session.

RETURNING THE DEBT. With the somewhat limited means at his command, there are \$105,000,000 of four per cent bonds which can be put into the market in round lots and sold to the highest bidder. They will bring a large premium. It is estimated that for the ten months ending December 31, 1880, the surplus revenue will be about \$170,000,000, and there is little doubt that \$50,000,000 of the available cash now in the Treasury can be applied to the redemption of maturing bonds which would enable the Secretary of the Treasury to redeem and cancel the present calendar year bonds, and \$100,000,000 of the maturing bonds, not amounting to cash in the Treasury that is placed at the disposal of the Secretary of the Treasury by the act of the President. The act of the President is to be signed in the bill on the motion of Senator Bayard. The report provides that the time apply the surplus money in the Treasury, not otherwise appropriated, or, to use thereof as he may consider proper to purchase or redemption of bonds, to avoid the remaining and four per cent bonds are being bought, and it is desirable to avoid having a large majority of debt on our hands. There is a which \$150,000,000 can be borrowed.

A JOURNALIST'S FARE. The President has decided to appoint Edwin H. Nevin, the editor of the Philadelphia Evening News, Surveyor of the Port of Philadelphia. The only interest in touching the appointment is that of "another Ohio man," having been born at Portsmouth in that State.

THE SENATE.

NO AGREEMENT YET ARRIVED AT BY THE PARTIES.

Both as Determined as Ever in the Contest for the Senate Officers—Proceedings of Saturday's Session—Debate on the Expanding Topic.

WASHINGTON, March 26.—Another day has been wasted in the Senate on a profitless discussion. The parties are no nearer agreement than ever. The Democrats are determined to resist an election of officers at the present executive session, so that their friends may remain at the crib until the regular December session, and the Republicans are determined to proceed to the election of officers. In the nature of things, one side or the other will have to back down, unless some mutual agreement can be reached. To reach such agreement an effort is now being made, but without a flattering prospect of success.

Immediately after the reading of the journal, Mr. Dawes demanded the regular order, before the resolution for the election of Senate officers.

Mr. Pendleton moved to go into executive session. Rejected—Yeas, 29; nays, 29.

Mr. Logan said that during the special session of the Senate of 1853 the officers of the Senate had been elected. He cited this to show that there was a precedent for the position now taken by the Republicans.

Mr. Harris said that was the only precedent that could be found, and called attention to the fact that at that time all the old officers had been re-elected except one, who had been removed by a vote.

Mr. Logan replied by inquiring whether the majority of the Senate had ever undertaken to rule the majority.

Mr. Farley replied that at this very session a minority of the Senate had for two weeks filibustered against organization of the Senate committee by the majority.

Mr. Morrill read from a speech made two years ago by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Salisbury) at a time when a change of officers was proposed, advising Republicans to reconcile themselves to their position as a minority, and like Christian gentlemen bear it manfully.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

A motion to go into executive session was defeated—Yeas, 25; nays, 27.

Mr. Beck referred to a statement made by the Senator of the Democratic side, (Mr. Anthony), in which he stated he did not think it would ever be found advocating the removal of officers who had performed their duties faithfully and efficiently.

SCAMLED TO DEATH.

Effects of the Threats of Grand Juryman Upon a Fourteen-Year-Old Boy.

MUNCIE, Ind., March 25.—A very sad and peculiar case of fatal scare has just come to light here. On Tuesday a boy 14-year-old, named Elder, residing a short distance west of here, was taken before the grand jury and questioned closely in reference to some matters concerning which he knew nothing. The usual threats which are put at witnesses who refuse to answer questions scared the boy until he was beside himself. Next day he was stricken down with brain fever, and it was rumored that he died to-night. The affair elicits much sympathy and comment unlimited.

Disaster Break-Up Out West.

OMAHA, Neb., March 26.—Ice started out of Loup river, in the central portion of this State, this morning, and carried bridges before it till it reached Columbus, where a gorge flooded Columbus and inundated Benton, seven miles below, causing the loss of three or four lives. A tremendous body of water moved down on Schuyler, Rogers and North Bend, which must be doing immense damage, but as the wires are down nothing more can be learned. Several people were drowned at Columbus, and great damage to buildings and live stock. There are apprehensions of more fearful destruction in Lower Platte Valley along the fifty miles before it reaches the Missouri river.

Suicide of a Young Lady.

FLATTOP, W. Va., March 26.—Miss Mary Jane Wiley committed suicide by drowning herself in a pond of water near her home, a day or two ago. There was no apparent cause for the rash act on the part of Miss Wiley. She left home in good health, and seemingly quite cheerful, to visit a neighbor, not returning, some inquiries were made, and it was learned that she had not been to the neighbor's house. Search was then made, and her bonnet, hanging on a bush at the water's edge, furnished a clue to her whereabouts. The pond was drained, and her body found near the centre of it, in water twelve feet deep.

Electric Time for St. Louis.

St. Louis, March 26.—At precisely noon to-day a time ball was dropped on top of the Jacquard building, corner of Fifth and Olive streets. This is designed to give daily the exact St. Louis time, and is operated by electricity from the Morrison Observatory at Glasgow, Mo., two hundred miles away, by Prof. Fricke, in charge of that institution. The affair was witnessed by a great crowd of people, who seemed to be deeply interested in the experiment.

Resignation of a Prominent Telegraph Man.

CHICAGO, March 26.—There was considerable sensation in telegraph circles to-night, owing to the announcement that Colonel J. S. Wilson had resigned the position of Superintendent of this division of telegraph to take effect the 1st proximo.

He is a veteran in the service of the Western Union, having been connected with it for twenty-five years, and having been in the telegraph business thirty-three years.

CHATHAM, Va., March 26.—A horribly brutal child murder was committed near Pittsylvania C. H. this morning. A man named Dabney Miner has for some time been living a life of open shame with his paramour, Dioceta Dalton, mother of a six-year-old child, which he was not the father. The little child was taken sick and put upon the floor, for which the brute took a leather belt two inches wide and beat it to death. He was arrested to-night.

Senator Carpenter's Estate.

MILWAUKEE, Wis., March 26.—It is learned that the late Senator Carpenter left no will. Mr. Carpenter has retained Judge Black of Pennsylvania, to manage the affairs of his husband's estate. She has given up her residence in Washington and will stay with friends until the remains are forwarded to this city. The family will reside here in the future. Senator Carpenter's estate is estimated to be worth from \$125,000 to \$150,000.

Union Moulders Barred Out.

St. Louis, March 26.—The Excelsior Manufacturing Company of this city, one of the most extensive stove-making concerns in the West, whose hands struck about three weeks ago because they would not discharge four non-union men in their employ, announce that hereafter no member of the Moulders' Union will be allowed to work in their shops under any circumstances.

Thirty-One Days Without Food.

IOWA CITY, March 26.—Miss Hallie Dowell completed the thirty-first day of her fast to-night, and is yet good for several days more. It is pronounced by leading physicians the most wonderful case of fasting ever known. Miss Dowell continues to maintain the silence she began three years ago, and converses only by signs.

Horrible Death.

NEWARK, Ohio, March 26.—John Rouch, an employe of the Baltimore & Ohio railroad, employed in the yard, caught his foot in a frog while on duty last night, and before he could get loose was run over by the yard engine and injured so that he died this morning. He was a married man, and resided on Clinton street.

No Damage.

STRENSVILLE, O., March 27.—The verdict rendered this evening in the case of James Duffy vs. the Jefferson Iron Works was for defendant. Plaintiff sued to recover \$10,000 damages resulting from having his leg crushed in the company's coal mine.

Death from the Kick of a Horse.

PHILADELPHIA, O., March 26.—Mrs. Hamilton King, of New Rumley, who was kicked by a vicious horse a few days since, died. Her husband came to a violent death about a year ago by being thrown from the same horse.

Murder in First Degree.

NEW YORK, March 26.—James Walsh, tried for the murder of his sweetheart, Barbara Greenhalgh, in Brooklyn, in May last, was found guilty of murder in the first degree. He was remanded for sentence.

Death from Injuries.

STRENSVILLE, O., March 26.—Samuel Holland, injured by a fall of clay, Tuesday last, at New Cumberland, died this morning after suffering most intense agony.

Death from Burning.

ERIS, Pa., March 26.—Miss Kate Lohan, who was so horribly burned Friday by her clothes catching fire from a stove, died to-day.

Needman for Mayor of Toledo.

TOLEDO, O., March 26.—General James B. Steedman was to-day nominated as Democratic candidate for Mayor.

THE SPRAGUES.

CATHARINE CALLS FOR A BILL OF PARTICULARS.

But Thinks She is Entirely Too Particular, but He Will Have to Comply—A Deluge of Scandal to be Let Loose Upon the Country During Next May.

PROVIDENCE, March 26.—The counsel in the Sprague divorce case met at Kingdon at 3 o'clock this afternoon. Mr. Parkhurst, counsel for Mrs. Sprague, presented the following petition:

"WASHINGTON, D. C., Supreme Court, February Term, 1881.—William Sprague against Catharine C. Sprague.

"The respondent in the above entitled cause respectfully prays that the petitioner, by special order of the Court, be requested to furnish to the respondent the names and residences of the persons with whom she is alleged in said petition to have been on terms of close and improper intimacy; and also the names and residences of the persons with whom in said petition she is alleged to have committed the crime of adultery, as she cannot safely proceed to the trial of said cause without such information."

The petition was accompanied by an affidavit in support thereof, which was sworn to before Robert Thompson and was filed March 25th.

Judge Potter remarked that the last time he sat in this case the legality of the service of the citation on Mrs. Sprague was questioned. He said that he desired to have that matter settled before taking up anything else. He said that he doubted whether the service was legal.

Another tedious delay seemed imminent, but Mr. Parkhurst interposed, declaring that in his opinion the service was made in accordance with the law.

The return of E. W. Cross, who made the service, was then read. It states that Mr. Cross went to Edgewood on the 28th of January last, and called for Mrs. Sprague. A card was demanded of him, and then word was sent to him by the servant that he could not deliver his message, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that he had been told by the girl back to tell Mrs. Sprague that his business was of such a nature that he must see her. She sent down writing material, requesting him to dictate his message, but he refused to do so. Then she dictated a prior business engagement, and refused to see him. He then sent a copy of the citation and one of the divorce petitions to her, and in return received word that she would answer by mail. Cross alleged that Mrs. Sprague was not complying with the law, and that